1 2	Law Offices of Mark W. Lapham Mark W. Lapham, ESQ. (SBN 146352) 751 Diablo Rd. Danville, California 94526	
3	Telephone: (925) 837-9007 Facsimile: (650) 738-0375	
4	Attorney for Plaintiff	
5	KARTHIK SUBRAMANI	
6		
7	LINITED OF A TEC DICTRICT COURT	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9		
10		
11	KARTHIK SUBRAMANI	Case No. C 13-01605 Honorable Judge Samuel Conti
12	Plaintiff,	Date: September 27, 2013 Time: 10:00 a.m
13	VS.	Courtroom: 1 17 th floor
14 15	WELLS FARGO BANK, NATIONAL ASOCIATION; FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE	STIPULATED CONTINUANCE FOR OPPOSITION AND REPLY DUE DATES FOR DEFENDANT'S 12(b(6) MOTION
16	COMPANY; and DOES 1 through 100 inclusive.	TO DISMISS
17	Defendant(s).	Complaint Filed: April 9, 2013
18		
19		
20	That defendant's filed a 12(b)(6) Motion to dismiss on August 15, 2013. Based on the FRCP	
21	plaintiff's opposition is due 14 days after such filing or August 29 th . Defendant's reply would be	
22	due 7 days thereafter. The hearing is calendared for September 27, 2013. That because of existing	
23	scheduling, the parties agree to extend the time for Plaintiff to file an opposition until September 5 th	
24	and for Defendant's to file their reply until September 12 th .	
25	///	
26	///	
27	111	
28	///	

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.	
2		
3	Dated: August 20th, 2013 LAW OFFICES OF MARK W. LAPHAM	
4		
5	By: //s/Mark W. Lapham	
6	MARK W. LAPHAM, SBN 146352 Attorney for Plaintiff KARTHIK SUBRAMANI	
7	KARTHIK SUBRAMANI	
8	Dated: August 20, 2013 SEVERSON AND WERSON, PC	
9	//s/Gurinder S. Grewal	
10	By: GURINDER S. GREWAL, SBN 277975 ONE EMBARACADERO CENTER, SUITE 2600	
11	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111 Attorney for Defendant's WELLS FARGO BANK	
12		
13		
14	ORDER	
15	The extension of time for Plaintiff to file their opposition is granted to September 5 th , 2013	
16		
17	IT IS SO ORDERED. STATES DISTRICT 08/23/2013	
18	08/23/2013	
19	A A AONTI	
20	S Company	
21	1 Conti	
22		
23		
24	DISTRICT	
25		
26 27		
28		
20		